Compare and Contrast Writing Rubric

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Hour: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Topic: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criterion | 4-Proficient | 3-Basic | 2-Below Basic | 1-Far Below Basic |
| Focus and Claim | -Completely addresses all aspects of the prompt-Introduces reasonable claims in a clear thesis statement | -Superficially addresses all aspects of the prompt-Introduces claims in a thesis statement | -Partially addresses aspects of the prompt-Introduces superficial or flawed claims in a weak thesis statement | -Minimally addresses some aspect of the prompt-Fails to introduce relevant claim and/or lacks a thesis statement |
| Organization and Structure | -Orients readers to topic in introduction-Develops claims with relevant body paragraphs-Provides a conclusion that follows from an supports claims-Creates cohesion through transition and linking words, phrases, and clauses within and between paragraphs-Includes logical progression of ideas from beginning to end | -Partially orients reader to topics in introduction-Superficially develops claims with body paragraphs-Provides a conclusion which repetitively or partially supports claims-Creates some cohesion through basic transition/linking words, phrases, and/or clauses within or between paragraphs-Includes adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end | -Inadequately orients reader to topic in introduction-Inadequately develops claims with minimal body paragraphs-Provides an inadequate conclusion-Uses limited and/or inappropriate transition/linking words, phrases, or clauses-Includes uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end | -Fails to orient reader to topics in introduction or introduction is missing-Fails to develop claims with body paragraphs-Omits conclusion-Uses few to no transition/linking words, phrases, or clauses-Includes little or no discernable organization of ideas |
| Evidence and Support | -Provides sufficient and relevant evidence to support claims-Competently integrates and cites credible sources and/or text evidence-Effectively shows similarities between topics-Effectively shows differences between topics | -Provides limited and/or superficial evidence to support claims-Ineffectively integrates or cites adequate sources and/or text evidence-Partially shows similarities between topics-Partially shows differences between topics | -Provides minimal and/or irrelevant evidence to support claims-Incorrectly integrates or cites sources and/or text that may not be credible-Minimally shows similarities between topics-Minimally shows differences between topics | -Provides inaccurate, little, or no evidence to support claims-Does not use or cite sources and/or text evidence-Does not show similarities between topics-Does not show differences between topics |
| Analysis | -Shows competent understanding of topic or texts-Uses valid reasoning to connect evidence with claims | -Show superficial understanding of topic or text-Uses some valid and accurate reasoning to connect evidence with claims | -Shows limited and/or flawed understanding of topic or text-Used limited, simplistic and/or flawed reasoning to connect evidence with claims | -Shows no/and or inaccurate understanding of topic or text-Reasoning is missing or does not connect evidence with claims |
| Language | -Uses correct and varied sentence structure-Contains few, minor errors in conventions-Competently uses academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | -Uses mostly correct and some varied sentence structure-Contains some errors in conventions which may cause confusion-Superficially uses academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | -Uses limited and/or repetitive sentence structure-Contains numerous errors in conventions which cause confusion-Inadequately uses academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | -Lacks sentence mastery-Contains serious and pervasive errors in conventions-Fails to use academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose |